## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	8:24-cv-00456-DOC-DFM			Date	May 17, 2024	
Title	Larry Dunn v. Mizokami Corporation et al					
Present: The Honorable		DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
<u>Deborah Lewman</u>			None Present			
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.			
Atto	orneys Present	for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:			
None Present			None Present			
PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION						
The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS Plaintiff's counsel to submit a response in writing no later than <b>May 24, 2024</b> , to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response, the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:  Answer to the complaint;						
_	Request for Entry of Default; or  Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (F.R.Civ.P. 41)					
It is plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiffs must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 7-1.						
Failure to respond by the date above will result in a Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution.						
					<u> </u>	
Initial of Deputy Clerk djl						